

#### 10. 2-View Geometry





### Outline



- Two-view Geometry
- Triangulation

#### **Two-view Geometry**



- What if two cameras see the same point?
  - The corresponding point in the second view must lie on a line, the epipolar line
  - The two camera centers and one 3D point defines the epipolar plane
- What can we say about these quantities?





Sorone GERMAIN (portrait de), & Page de 11 aus.

Algebra is but written geometry; geometry is but drawn algebra. -- Sophie Germain

### Essential Matrix – calibrated case



- Assume calibrated camera
  - So that we know 3D directions in the camera coordinate system
  - i.e. **p**, **q** are known directions (**p** is in camera frame 1, **q** is in camera frame 2)
  - Suppose **R**, **c** are the rotations and translations between the two cameras
     i.e. **R**<sup>T</sup>**q** is the direction of **q** in the camera frame 1
  - Constraint:  $\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{c}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{q}$  are coplanar (and all in camera frame 1)



### **Vector Mixed Product**



- Vector mixed product:  $a \cdot (b \times c)$
- Geometric meaning: the volume of a parallelepiped defined by the three vectors *a*, *b*, and *c*
- Three vectors a, b, c are coplanar iff  $a \cdot (b \times c) = 0$



### Essential Matrix – calibrated case



• Constraint: **p**, **c**, **R**<sup>T</sup>**q** are coplanar (and all in camera frame 1)





## Fundamental Matrix – uncalibrated case



- How do we generalize the Essential matrix to uncalibrated cameras?
- The way to compute direction from pixel coordinates (see page 24):

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{x}$$

- We can substitute  $p=K_1^{-1}\widehat{p}$  and  $q=K_2^{-1}\widehat{q}~$  into  $q^TEp=0$ 
  - Where  $\widehat{p}, \widehat{q}$  are pixel coordinates
  - Therefore,

 $\rightarrow$ 

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{K}_{2}^{-\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{K}_{1}^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} = 0$$
$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{2}^{-\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{K}_{1}^{-1}\right)\widehat{\mathbf{p}} = \widehat{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{T}}\widehat{\mathbf{F}}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} = 0$$

**Fundamental Matrix** 

[Oliver Faugeras 1992]

In the following, we abuse the symbols to use  ${f p}, {f q}$  instead of  ${f \widehat p}, {f \widehat q}$  to denote pixel coordinates

### Fundamental Matrix – summary





- This epipolar geometry of two views is described by a Special 3x3 matrix  ${f F}$ , called the fundamental matrix
- **F** maps (homogeneous) *points* in image 1 to *lines* in image 2!
- The epipolar line (in image 2) of point **p** is: **Fp**
- *Epipolar constraint* on corresponding points:  $\mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p} = 0$

### Fundamental Matrix – summary





- Two Special points:  $\mathbf{e}_1$  and  $\mathbf{e}_2$  (the *epipoles*): projection of one camera into the other
- All of the epipolar lines in an image pass through the epipole
- Epipoles can be computed from **F** as well:  $\mathbf{e}_2^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{F} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{e}_1 = 0$ 
  - For any pixel  $\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{p}$  is its epipolar line, which must pass through  $\mathbf{e}_2$
  - Therefore,  $\mathbf{e}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p} = 0$  for any  $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} = 0$
  - So, **F** is rank 2

#### Fundamental Matrix – summary





- Two Special points:  $\mathbf{e}_1$  and  $\mathbf{e}_2$  (the *epipoles*): projection of one camera into the other
- All of the epipolar lines in an image pass through the epipole
- Epipoles can be computed from **F** as well:  $\mathbf{e}_2^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{F} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{e}_1 = 0$ 
  - For any pixel  $\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{p}$  is its epipolar line, which must pass through  $\mathbf{e}_2$
  - Therefore,  $\mathbf{e}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p} = 0$  for any  $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} = 0$
  - So, **F** is rank 2

### The Fundamental Matrix Song





#### Questions?



### Example: converging cameras





#### Where is the epipole in this image?

### Example: converging cameras





### Example: motion parallel with image plane







#### Example: forward motion







#### the epipolar constraint for stereo vision



#### Task: Match point in left image to point in right image



Left image

Right image

#### Epipolar constraint reduces search to a single line

#### Questions?





#### **Estimating the Fundamental Matrix**

 $\mathbf{x'}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ 

 $x' xf_{11} + x' yf_{12} + x' f_{13} + y' xf_{21} + y' yf_{22} + y' f_{23} + xf_{31} + yf_{32} + f_{33} = 0$ 

separate known from unknown

$$\begin{split} & \left[x'x, x'y, x', y'x, y'y, y', x, y, 1\right] \left[f_{11}, f_{12}, f_{13}, f_{21}, f_{22}, f_{23}, f_{31}, f_{32}, f_{33}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} = 0 \\ & \text{(data)} & \text{(unknowns)} \end{split}$$

linear equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} x'_{1} x_{1} & x'_{1} y_{1} & x'_{1} & y'_{1} x_{1} & y'_{1} y_{1} & y'_{1} & x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x'_{n} x_{n} & x'_{n} y_{n} & x'_{n} & y'_{n} x_{n} & y'_{n} y_{n} & y'_{n} & x_{n} & y_{n} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{Af} = \mathbf{0}$$

### The Singularity Constraint



$$e'^{T} F = 0$$
  $Fe = 0$   $det(F) = 0$   $rank F = 2$ 

SVD from linearly computed F matrix (rank 3)

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{U} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 & & \\ & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 & \\ & & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{U}_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \mathbf{V}_1^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{U}_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \mathbf{V}_2^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{U}_3 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 \mathbf{V}_3^{\mathrm{T}}$$

Compute closest rank-2 approximation  $\min \|\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}'\|_{F}$ 

$$F' = U \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \sigma_2 & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T = U_1 \sigma_1 V_1^T + U_2 \sigma_2 V_2^T$$







when F is non-singular, epipolar lines won't intersect at the same point

#### the NOT normalized 8-point algorithm



 $\begin{array}{c} f_{11} \\ f_{12} \end{array}$  $\rightarrow$  least-squares yields poor results

### Normalized 8-point Algorithm



Transform image to  $\sim$  [-1,1]x[-1,1]



Least squares yields good results (Hartley, PAMI'97)

In Defence of the 8-point Algorithm

Richard I. Hartley,

## 7-point Algorithm – the minimum case



$$\begin{bmatrix} x'_{1} x_{1} & x'_{1} y_{1} & x'_{1} & y'_{1} x_{1} & y'_{1} y_{1} & y'_{1} & x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x'_{7} x_{7} & x'_{7} y_{7} & x'_{7} & y'_{7} x_{7} & y'_{7} y_{7} & y'_{7} & x_{7} & y_{7} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}$$

7 equations, 9 unknowns

$$A = U_{7x7} \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_7, 0, 0) V_{9x9}^{T}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow A[V_8 V_9] = 0_{9x2} \qquad \Rightarrow A(V_8 + \lambda V_9) = 0_{9x2}$$
  

$$x_i^{T} (F_1 + \lambda F_2) x_i = 0, \forall i = 1...7$$

one parameter family of solutions

but  $F_1 + \lambda F_2$  not automatically rank 2 so we can solve  $\lambda$  by letting the rank equal to 2

### 7-point Algorithm – the minimum case



 $det(F_1 + \lambda F_2) = a_3\lambda^3 + a_2\lambda^2 + a_1\lambda + a_0 = 0 \quad \text{(cubic equation)}$ 

 $det(F_1 + \lambda F_2) = det F_2 det(F_2^{-1}F_1 + \lambda I) = 0$ 

Compute possible  $\lambda$  as eigenvalues of  $F_2^{-1}F_1$  (only real solutions are potential solutions)

Three solutions when the points and camera center are on a 'critical surface'.

#### **Error Functions**



The 8-point and 7-point algorithm minimizes an algebraic error

We can define a symmetric geometric error as:

 $\sum_{i} d(\mathbf{x}'_{i}, \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}_{i})^{2} + d(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{F}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}'_{i})^{2}$ 

Minimizing the distance between the corresponding point and epipolar line

The best objective function is the re-projection error

(= Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Gaussian noise)

$$\sum_{i} d(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i})^{2} + d(\mathbf{x}'_{i}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}'_{i})^{2} \text{ subject to } \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{F} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0$$

### **Recommendations:**



- 1. Do not use unnormalized algorithms
- 2. Quick and easy to implement: 8-point normalized
- 3. Better: enforce rank-2 constraint during minimization
- 4. Best: Maximum Likelihood Estimation by minimizing re-projection error

#### Degenerate cases:



- Degenerate cases (only a homography can be estimated)
  - Planar scene
  - Pure rotation

#### Questions?





# Computation of the Essential matrix

$$\mathbf{x'}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

Compute **F** first, then simply take:  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{K}_1^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{K}_2$ 

$$\mathbf{y'}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i$$

Or, take 8-point algorithm to solve **E**, then enforce:

$$E = U \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \sigma_2 & \\ & & \sigma_3 \end{bmatrix} V^{T} \quad \textcircled{} E = U \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{2} & & \\ & \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{2} & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^{T}$$

### **Computation of the Essential matrix**



- E has less degrees of freedom than F
- In principal, 5 pair of corresponding points are sufficient to decide **E**.
  - The 5-point algorithm by David Nister

#### An Efficient Solution to the Five-Point Relative Pose Problem

David Nistér Sarnoff Corporation CN5300, Princeton, NJ 08530 dnister@sarnoff.com

PAMI 2004

### **Getting Camera Matrices from E**



For a given  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{U} \operatorname{diag} (1,1,0) \mathbf{V}^T$  (by SVD decomposition), and the first camera matrix  $\mathbf{P} = [\mathbf{I} | 0]$ , there are 4 choices for the second camera matrix  $\mathbf{P}'$ , namely

$$\mathbf{P'} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{V}^T \mid \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \mathbf{P'} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{V}^T \mid -\mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
or 
$$\mathbf{P'} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{V}^T \mid \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \mathbf{P'} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{V}^T \mid -\mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{u}_3 \text{ is the last column of } \boldsymbol{U}$$

For the proof, please refer to section 9.6 of the 'multiview geometry' book

## Selecting from the Four Solutions



• Among these four configurations, only one is valid where the reconstructed points are in front of both cameras



#### Triangulation (to be studied soon)





## In front of the camera?

- A point X
- Direction from camera center to point X C
- The direction of principal axis  $m^3$
- Compute the angle between (X C) and  $m^3$
- Just need to test  $(X C) \cdot m^3 > 0$







### **Pick the Solution**



• With maximal number of points in front of both cameras.





Page 260 of the Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed

#### Questions?



## Outline



- Two-view Geometry
- Triangulation



#### **Point Reconstruction**

Estimate 3D point X from known cameras P, P' (and feature correspondences)



#### **Direct Linear Transform**



 $x = PX \implies x \times PX = 0$   $x' = P'X \implies x' \times P'X = 0$ 



Homogeneous coordinate, add constraint

||X|| = 1

Convert to inhomogeneous coordinate

(X, Y, Z, 1)

This method minimizes an algebraic error

### Mid-point Algorithm



• Find the middle point of the mutual perpendicular line segment *AB* 

$$A = \mathbf{c} + d_1 \mathbf{y}$$
  $B = \mathbf{c}' + d_2 \mathbf{y}'$ 

• **c**, **c**', **y**, **y**' are all in the same coordinate system (e.g. camera frame 1)



### **Mid-point Algorithm**

- Choose the first camera's coordinate as a reference
  - $\mathbf{c} = 0, \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{K_1}[\mathbf{I} \mid 0]$
- Put the second camera in that coordinate system
  - Assume known relative rotation **R**, translation **t**

• 
$$\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{K}_2[\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{t}], \mathbf{c}' = -\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{t}$$

• 
$$\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{K}_2^{-1}\mathbf{x}'$$





### Mid-point Algorithm

- Since *AB* is the mutual perpendicular line segment
  - $AB \perp y$ ,  $AB \perp y'$
- This means:

$$(A-B)\times(y\times y')=0$$

• This generates three equations of  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ 

$$A = \mathbf{c} + d_1 \mathbf{y}$$
$$B = \mathbf{c}' + d_2 \mathbf{y}'$$

• Solve  $d_1, d_2$  from the above linear equation (minimizing a geometric error)







#### **Reprojection Error**

 $d(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}})^{2} + d(\mathbf{x}', \hat{\mathbf{x}}')^{2} \text{ subject to } \hat{\mathbf{x}}'^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0 \text{ (or } \hat{\mathbf{x}}'^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0)$ or equivalently subject to  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{P} \hat{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}' = \mathbf{P}' \hat{\mathbf{X}}$ 



compute using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm

This triangulation works for uncalibrated cameras

• The algebraic error and mid-point algorithm needs **K**, **K**' (pre-calibrated cameras)

#### Questions?



## **Algorithms Studied Today**



- Epipolar geometry (relative motion estimation)
  - 2D <-> 2D correspondences, compute relative camera motion (up to a scale)
- Triangulation
  - 2D <-> 2D correspondences (and known camera poses), compute 3D point