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Abstract—Color vision deficiency (CVD) affects a high percentage of the population worldwide. When seeing a volume visualization result, persons with CVD may be incapable of discriminating the classification information expressed in the image if the color transfer function or the color blending used in the direct volume rendering is not appropriate. Conventional methods used to address this problem adopt advanced image recoloring techniques to enhance the rendering results frame-by-frame; unfortunately, problematic perceptual results may still be generated. This paper proposes an alternative solution that complements the image recoloring scheme by reconfiguring the components of the direct volume rendering (DVR) pipeline. Our approach optimizes the mapped colors of a transfer function to simulate CVD-friendly effect that is generated by applying the image recoloring to the results with the initial transfer function. The optimization process has a low computational complexity, and only needs to be performed once for a given transfer function. To achieve detail-preserving and perceptually natural semi-transparent effects, we introduce a new color composition mode that works in the color space of dichromats. Experimental results and a pilot study demonstrates that our approach can yield dichromats-friendly and consistent volume visualization in real-time.

Index Terms—Dichromacy, direct volume rendering, volume classification, image recoloring.

1 INTRODUCTION

Direct volume rendering (DVR) is an effective method used to display meaningful information from 3D scalar fields [10]. By assigning different opacities to various regions, DVR provides an exploratory preview of the underlying dataset without the explicit construction of an intermediate model. It is especially useful when semi-transparent effects are required to show the internal structures of a scalar field. The mappings from the scalar values to opacities are achieved by using an opacity transfer function, which is used to highlight important features while suppressing or hiding other regions. Designing an opacity transfer function is basically a volume classification problem, and plays a central role in volume visualization [18].

DVR involves another type of transfer function, namely, the color transfer function that specifies a color for each class when the opacity transfer function is selected. Using DVR [16], the mapped colors and opacities are accumulated using a chosen color blending method (e.g., [19]). Thus the visualization result is heavily influenced by the color and opacity transfer functions, as well as the color...
composition mode. Despite continued research in color design [28],
DVR, little attention has been paid to the color specification of the
transfer function. Although well-studied color design principles [3,
23] can be applied to the color selection, perceptually deficient
results may occur because the DVR process involves an additional
transparency-modulated color composition process.

This situation may be exaggerated when the visualization results are
shown to persons with color vision deficiency (CVD) [27]. To address
the challenging case, this paper proposes an approach that makes the
DVR usable for those people. Instead of providing dichromats with a
visualization system, we seek to modify the components of DVR
to allow a user with normal vision to generate results perceivable by
dichromats. This feature is especially useful because a high percentage
of the population worldwide are affected by CVD [25], and volume
visualization has become a widely used communication and analysis
tool for a variety of users.

The task, however, is non-trivial because dichromats may miss
the classification information shown in the DVR images. The main
reason is that the color space of a dichromatic observer is much
smaller than that of normal persons. A straightforward solution
would be to choose the mapped colors of the transfer function in a
CVD-friendly fashion [3]. However, choosing distinguishable colors
may fail because the colors may be mixed into other colors within
the opacity-weighted procedure, or even be dissolved due to the
occlusions under varied viewing configurations.

Alternatively, image recoloring techniques [21, 22] can be used to
enhance the color distinguishability for dichromats. The pioneering
work of Kuhn et al. [12] enhances the perceptibility of the volume
visualization to a great degree and is further improved to preserve
temporal coherence at a low cost [14]. Note that the DVR results
exhibit internal structures generated by the transfer function, as well
as their occlusion relationships. The image recoloring scheme solely
relates to the composed colors in the image space, and consequently
may lose this information even though certain image characteristics
like the contrast [14] can be maintained. In some situations, it may
suppress subtle details and lead to indistinguishable labeling (see
Figure 1 (d)). Moreover, color-inconsistent results can occur when the
viewpoint is dynamically changing (see Figure 1 (c-d)).

Generally speaking, the image recoloring scheme is designed
for still images, while volume visualization is an interactive and
view-dependent process. In particular, the semi-transparent cue
from the DVR results is unpredictable because its expressiveness is
determined by multiple factors, namely, the mapped colors, opacities,
lighting and their composition under certain viewing configuration.
This complicates the CVD-friendly design in DVR, and consequently
prevents the image recoloring scheme from being the perfect solution.

In addition, the conventional color blending operation (i.e., the
OVER operator in the RGB space) may lead to results that are
observed as similar or even identical to one of the input colors
by dichromats, and consequently induce an ambiguous perception of
the volume classification information. Figure 2 compares the
simulated perceptions of a deuteranope (second row) to the results
by the conventional blending mode (first row) and our results (third row).
Specifically, our results are generated by converting the
input colors to the CVD-friendly space and employing a new color
composition mode. When the opacity of the bottom left rectangle
is 0.53, the simulated perception (the middle image of the second
row) indicates that the top right rectangle is front of the other one,
which is contradictory to the result by the conventional color blending
operation (first row). The plots (see Figure 2 (b)) of the color
differences (defined in Section 4.1) between two pixels A and B with
respect to the opacity further confirm the deficiency of the second row:
the plot of blue has a point of zero moment (i.e., the smallest difference
appears when the opacity is 0.53), while the plot in red indicates that
our results conform to the perception of the trichromats (i.e., the color
difference is in approximate proportion to the opacity).

We argue that a more sophisticated solution should take the
components of the entire DVR pipeline into account, in which
the image recoloring is an important, but not unique stage. This
paper presents an optimization-based color design technique built
upon the image recoloring scheme, and a novel CVD-friendly color
composition mode with the following contributions:

- A novel color optimization scheme, which modifies the
mapped colors of the color transfer function by simulating the
CVD-friendly effect obtained by the image recoloring scheme.

- A new color composition mode that is performed in the reduced
color space of dichromats, together with a new blending operator
that preserves the perceptibility of the composition result.

Rather than introducing a novel color enhancement scheme, our
approach complements the image recoloring scheme with a color
optimization process and a CVD-friendly color composition mode.
By integrating the new components into an interactive volume
visualization system, our approach makes the DVR results more
distinguishable for dichromats and also avoids the color inconsistence
mentioned above (see Figure 1 and Figure 2). Our approach does
not modify the opacity transfer function, and attempts to maintain
the classification information achieved by the users. In addition,
the modified color transfer function is independent of the DVR pipeline,
and can be reused in different volume visualization systems without
changing the DVR algorithm. The entire solution is compatible with
the conventional transfer function design and image recoloring
methods, and favors effective communication among trichromats and
dichromats.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We briefly
introduce some preliminary knowledge and related work in Section 2.
Our approach is described in Section 3, followed by results and
comparisons in Section 4. Conclusions and future work are given in
Section 5.

2. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

2.1 The Dichromacy

The dichromats and anomalous trichromats are persons who are
deficient in response to three types of cones of the human eye,
named after their responses at long (L), medium (M) and short (S)
wavelengths. The sensitivities with respect to these three wavelengths
are used to characterize a color space, called the LMS space.
Among Caucasians, it is estimated that 2.3% of male population are
dichromats, and 5.7% of male population are anomalous trichromats.
The numbers are 0.03% and 0.39% for the female population,
respectively [25].

A dichromat may lose important information transmitted by
chromatic colors. In other words, the LMS color space of a dichromat
is much smaller than that of a person with normal vision. If two
colors lead to the same color vision perception for a dichromat (see
Figure 3 (a)), he or she cannot distinguish two objects labeled by
Fig. 3. (a) Different colors may be observed as identical for a dichromat; (b) The geometric representation of the LMS space for dichromats [2].

These two colors, posing a challenging problem for visual labeling in visualization design. This also means that a dichromat cannot sense both aesthetic and semantics of the volume visualization without specific process.

A number of simulation models [2, 15, 27] have been studied to simulate the dichromatic color perception for normal trichromats. Among them, the Brettel model [2] is the most popular one based on the reports of the unilateral dichromats (the ones with one dichromatic eye and one normal trichromatic eye) [11]. Geometrically, the perception capability of dichromats can be represented as two half-planes in the LMS color space (see Figure 3 (b)). The dichromatic perception of a color can be simulated by projecting it onto one half-plane. In this paper we use the Brettel model.

Given a color denoted by \([R, G, B]^T\) in the RGB color space, the dichromatic simulation can be formulated as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
L \\
M \\
S
\end{bmatrix} = T \begin{bmatrix}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
L_d \\
M_d \\
S_d
\end{bmatrix} = M_{cfd} \begin{bmatrix}
L \\
M \\
S
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
R_d \\
G_d \\
B_d
\end{bmatrix} = T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix}
L_d \\
M_d \\
S_d
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(1)

where \(T\) denotes the transformation between two color spaces. \(M_{cfd}\) is a matrix that transforms the normal LMS values to the simulated LMS values. It is obtained from the Brettel model, and varies for different types of dichromacy. The subscript ‘\(d\)’ indicates that the associated variable is a simulated one of the dichromatic perception.

### 2.2 Color and Transparency in Volume Visualization

Volume visualization widely employs transparency-based modulation to show internal structures, which complicates the choice of color palette. In volume visualization, appropriate color design and transparency modulation are vital to enhance the perceptibility.

The color design is subject to specific tasks [23]. Many principles have been proposed to provide usable color maps, like the ColorBrewer system [3]. In [28], a knowledge-based system is proposed to capture established color design rules into a comprehensive interactive system. In particular, enhance the color accessibility for dichromats has attracted much attention in the image and video processing communities. A general approach is to transform colors from the color space of the normal trichromats to a reduced color space. This scheme has been successfully extended to volume visualization [12, 14].

Despite a large volume of literature on transfer function design, little attention has been paid to the transparency design issues in volume visualization. The psychology study indicates that the perceived transparency relates to the human perception, and is dependent on the lighting, color contrast and shape [7]. A physical model [17] is proposed to rationalize visual perception on transparency. A study reveals that the luminance is also important to express the transparency information [8]. In [4], a suite of new measures based on psychological principles is studied to evaluate the perceptual quality of transparent structures in the DVR results.

Of great importance in the context of volume visualization is the color blending operation. To maintain the hue component during the DVR, a perception-guided composition mode [5] is proposed. In this approach, the composition is performed in the color space of trichromats, and cannot be used to enhance the color perception for dichromats. Our approach employs a new CVD-friendly color composition mode that emphasizes the luminance profile of the image to enhance the transparency [26].

### 2.3 Image Recoloring for Color Enhancement

Much work has been dedicated to the problem of image or video recoloring for dichromats. Existing color enhancement methods can be mainly categorized into rule-based and optimization-based approaches. The representative [6] of the first category uses a two-stage process: the red/green contrast is first increased, and then this information is used to adjust the brightness and blue/yellow contrast. Machado et al. [15] present a physiologically-based model that unifies the normal color vision, anomalous trichromacy, and dichromacy. Its simulation is fast: only one matrix multiplication is required for each pixel. In general, the rule-based scheme is computationally efficient, but is limited by the rules, and needs many parameter adjustments.

Optimization-based methodsseek to solve an objective function to achieve their goals. For instance, Rasche et al. [21] introduce a new way to preserve visual details while reducing the gamut dimension. The optimization is achieved by solving a quadratic objective function with constraints that enforce luminance consistency. Because the optimization process requires solving a large linear system, it is computationally inefficient. From the viewpoint of color mapping, computing the color transformation can be regarded as a dimension reduction problem in the color space. For instance, Ma et al. [13] employ a self-organizing color transformation (SCT) to perform this task. In [12], a mass-spring system is leveraged to optimize the distribution of the color in a set of quantized colors. The mass-spring system converges after several iterations, leading to better performance than previous methods. More recently, this technique was improved to support temporal coherent recoloring [14]. Our approach is built upon the image recoloring scheme, and advances it by incorporating the DVR pipeline into the color enhancement process.

### 3 Color Transfer Function Optimization and Color Composition

DVR involves three stages: specifying color and opacity transfer functions, sampling the scalar field, applying transfer functions and shading, and composing the colors and opacities. The image recoloring operation can be regarded as an additional process to the pipeline, and has to be performed for each frame (see Figure 4 (a)). In contrast, our approach employs an optimization process to modify the color transfer function, which needs to be done only once for a given transfer function. The optimization is guided by the results from the image recoloring operation, i.e., the visualization generated by the modified color transfer function is made as close as possible to the results from the image recoloring process (see Figure 4 (b)).

#### 3.1 Optimizing the Color Transfer Function

For clarity, in this section we assume that the density of the underlying scalar field ranges from 0 to 255, and a one-dimensional transfer function is considered. The extension to higher data precision and multi-dimensional transfer function is straightforward.

Suppose that there is an opacity transfer function \(T_o\) and a color transfer function \(T_c\), which have \(M\) (i.e., 256) opacity entries and RGB-triples, respectively. The DVR result is denoted as \(I_d\) at the image size of \(N\) (e.g., 512 × 512). Applying the image recoloring technique [14] to \(I_d\) yields an image \(I_e\). Our approach seeks to reconfigure \(T_c\) at the size of \(M \times 3\) to \(T'_c\), with which the generated image \(I'_d\) approximates \(I_e\) as close as possible.
Applying transfer functions and shading
Specifying transfer functions

Fig. 4. Comparison between the image recoloring scheme (a) and our approach (b). In (b), the two steps indicated with the italic fonts are two new components introduced in our approach, and are described in Section 3.1 and Section 3.2. The symbols shown on the top of (b) are the variables used in the color optimization stage.

For each pixel in a DVR image, its color is accumulated from a set of colors and opacities with the following composition operator [16] in a back-to-front order:

$$C_i' = \alpha_i C_i + (1 - \alpha_i)C_{i-1}'$$

where $\alpha_i$ and $C_i$ are the opacity and color of the $i$th sample after applying the transfer function $T_o$ and $T_c$, respectively. The final accumulated color can be written as [10]:

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{S} \alpha_i C_i = \sum_{j=1}^{S} \omega_i \sum_{i=1}^{S} \beta_i \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_j)$$

where $S$ denotes the sample number.

Let $\{C_m, m = 1, 2, 3, ..., M\}$ be the color set of the underlying color transfer function. Applying the color transfer function to the $i$th sample is identical to mapping the sample to a linear combination of $C_m$ ($m = 1, 2, 3, ..., M$): $\sum_{j=1}^{M} \theta_{m,j}C_m$, where $\theta_{m,j}$ denotes the weight of $C_m$. The color of the $i$th sample is a result of applying the color transfer function and computing its illumination using the volumetric optical model [16]:

$$C_i = \beta_i \sum_{m=1}^{M} \theta_{m,i}C_m$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} C_m \sum_{m=1}^{M} \beta_i \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_j)$$

where $\beta_i$ denotes the volumetric illumination computed at the $i$th sample.

Substituting Equation 4 to Equation 3 and rewriting Equation 3 as a sum of $C_m$, yields:

$$C = \sum_{m=1}^{M} C_m \left( \sum_{j=1}^{S} \theta_{m,j} \beta_i \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_j) \right)$$

where $\omega_m = \sum_{j=1}^{S} \theta_{m,j} \beta_i \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_j)$, which is the accumulated weight associated with $C_m$.

From Equation 5, it is apparent that $\omega_m$ is determined by the opacity transfer function $T_o$ and the volumetric illumination. Therefore, it only needs to be computed once during the optimization of $\{C_m, m = 1, 2, 3, ..., M\}$.

Let $C_t^*(m = 1, 2, 3, ..., M)$ be the color triplets of the intended transfer function $T^*_c$. For each pixel $p_k$ ($k = 1, 2, ..., N$), the color $Cd^*_k$ at $p_k$ in $I_d$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $C_t^*$:

$$Cd^*_k = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \omega_{m,k} C_t^*$$

where $\omega_{m,k}$ ($m = 1, 2, ..., M$) are the accumulated weights associated with $C_t^* (m = 1, 2, 3, ..., M)$ at pixel $p_k$.

By treating $C_t^* (m = 1, 2, 3, ..., M)$ as unknown, Equation 6 can be regarded as an over-determined equation set given that $N \gg M$. This yields a linear system:

$$\arg \min E_1 = \arg \min \sum_{k=1}^{N} (Cd^*_k - Ct^*_k)^2$$

where $Ct^*_k$ is the color at $p_k$ in $I_r$.

Another concern is that the optimized transfer function $T^*$ be as close as possible to the initial one $T_c$ because it is usually expected that the assigned colors are preserved after the optimization. Accordingly, we design another item:

$$\arg \min E_2 = \arg \min \sum_{m=1}^{M} \delta_m (C_t^m - C_m)^2$$

where $\delta_m$ is a binary parameter specified by the user to indicate whether the $m$th color item should be preserved or not.

Weighting two items in Equation 7 and Equation 8 with an adjustable parameter $\lambda$ leads to:

$$\arg \min E = \arg \min \left( (1 - \lambda) \frac{E_1}{N} + \lambda \frac{E_2}{M} \right)$$

Here, the smaller $\lambda$ is, the yielded DVR result is closer to $I_r$. In our implementation, $\lambda$ is initialized to be 0.

3.1.1 Solving the linear system

Note that a volume classification derived from the opacity transfer function design yields a small number of classes. From the viewpoint of the color design [3, 28], usually the number of color labels is smaller than 8. To perform visual labeling in DVR, a user chooses a set of distinct colors. The number of the selected colors is typically small, e.g., 4 in the example shown in Figure 1. The pixel number $N$ determines the number of equations in Equation 6, and the color set $C_t^* (m = 1, 2, 3, ..., M)$ of $T^*$ denotes the variable set.

If all $N$ pixels in a DVR result are employed, the linear system becomes a large over-determined minimization problem. To reduce the complexity of the linear system, we randomly sample $N_r$ pixels
in the DVR image. Before sampling, we eliminate the background pixels since they do not contribute to the linear system (Equation 7). Mathematically, $N_r$ should be greater than $M$ to avoid an undetermined equation set which has infinite solutions. In our experiments, $N_r$ is set to be 200, which produces satisfying results.

With the schemes mentioned above, the computational complexity is greatly reduced: the size of the linear system decreases from $512^2 \times 256$ to approximately $200 \times 8$ (i.e., $N_r = 200, M = 8$). The optimization in Equation 8 is solved by using the least-squares method [20]. Solving this linear system can be done very efficiently.

We denote the result vector generated with the optimized transfer function $\mathbf{T}^*_c$ as $\mathbf{r}_d$. The entire optimization process is illustrated in Figure 4 (b). Figure 5 depicts the influence of the sampling number $N_r$ on the final result $\mathbf{r}_d$ with $\lambda = 0$ in Equation 9. It can be seen that the final results in (b-d) are similar with the change of $N_r$ from 50 to 1000. The main reason is that the linear system (Equation 7) is over-determined ($N_r$ is much larger than the color number).

**Color Preservation** In Figure 5, the color of the lung part (indicated by a red circle) is changed to grey after the optimization when the color preservation is not used ($\lambda$ in Equation 9 is set to be 0). By changing $\lambda$ to 0.3 or 0.7, different results that preserve the color to a certain degree are obtained (see Figure 6). The average colors in the red circles of Figure 5 (a-b) and Figure 6 (a-b) indicate that using $\lambda$ can effectively modulate the degree of color preservation during the optimization.

### 3.1.2 Multi-view Optimization

DVR is a view-dependent process. The color optimization approach described above only considers the solution under a single view. In some situations, the classification information shown in a DVR image is incomplete because of 3D occlusion, like the example shown in Figure 7 (a). The corresponding color optimization process may lead to unpleasing results (see Figure 7 (d)).

An entropy-based view selection [1] scheme may improve the selection of the best view for constructing the linear system, which has the potential to track all the non-zero coefficients $\omega_m$ of the colors $C_{r_m}^*$ ($m = 1, 2, ..., M$) in the color transfer function $\mathbf{T}^*_c$. In our implementation, the views can be manually specified or automatically selected by assigning uniformly sampled viewing angles. The constraints obtained under additional views are added to the linear system.

Our solution for the multi-view optimization takes three stages. First, multiple DVR results (see Figure 7 (b)) are generated, for each of which a linear system with respect to Equation 7 is built. Then, the linear systems are integrated by putting all constraints of each linear system together. The energy item $E_1$ of the final linear system has the following form:

$$\arg \min E_1 = \arg \min \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{rah}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M} \omega_{m,k} C_{r_m}^* - C_{rh} \right)^2$$

where $H$ is the number of views considered in the multi-view optimization, and $N_{rah}$ is the number of the sampled pixels with respect to the $h$th view.

Solving the integrated system yields a result that considers the influences from multiple views. Suppose that there are 4 views, the size of the integrated linear system is 4 times larger than the size of a linear system. The effect using the multi-view optimization is shown in Figure 7 (c).

### 3.2 CVD-friendly Color Composition

Transparency plays an important role in the usage of DVR for illustrating the internal structures of the underlying scalar field. The semi-transparent effect of the DVR is achieved by employing the conventional opacity-modulated color composition (Equation 2) that is performed in the color space for trichromats. The Brettel model that simulates the perception of dichromats applies a non-linear Gamma transformation to the RGB-triple input color. As a result, the composited colors from the conventional color blending mode may be mapped into a similar or identical color, causing possible information loss (see Figure 2). Below we present two new techniques to address this problem.

#### 3.2.1 CVD-friendly Color Blending

The research on dichromacy (see Section 2.1) indicates that the color space of dichromats is very limited, and can be represented by two half-planes in the LMS color space, and be further simplified into one plane in the CIE L*a*b* space [12].
Inspired by the hue-preserving color blending mode [5], the color composition should be performed in the reduced color space of dichromats to prevent the result from being outside the space and meanwhile make it distinguishable for dichromats. We propose to perform the linear combination of two colors with respect to the geodesic distance on the two half-planes (see Figure 8 (b)). Compared to conventional linear combination that is performed in the 3D LMS space, this scheme ensures that the result lies in the two half-planes. The algorithm is given in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 CVD-friendly color blending for two colors $C_i$ and $C'_{i-1}$ represented with RGB-triples, and an opacity $\alpha_i$, where $C_i, C'_{i-1}$ and $\alpha_i$ are of the same meanings as in Equation 2.

$$X_i = M_{cvi} \times \text{RGB2LMS}(C_i);$$
$$X'_{i-1} = M_{cvi} \times \text{RGB2LMS}(C'_{i-1});$$

IF $X_i$ and $X'_{i-1}$ are on the same half-plane

$$X'_i = \alpha_i X_i + (1 - \alpha_i) X'_{i-1};$$

ELSE

$$\text{Path} = \text{GeodesicPath}(X_i, X'_{i-1});$$

$$X_p = \text{Intersect(Path, L}_{AB});$$

$$\alpha_p = \frac{\text{DIS}(X_i, X_p)}{\text{DIS}(X_i, X'_i)};$$

IF $\alpha_i > \alpha_p$

$$X'_i = \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_p}{1 - \alpha_p} X_i + \frac{1 - \alpha_i}{\alpha_p} X_p$$

ELSE

$$X'_i = \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_p}{1 - \alpha_p} X'_i + \frac{1 - \alpha_i}{\alpha_p} X_p$$

END IF

$$C'_i = \text{LMS2RGB}(X'_i)$$

*The function RGB2LMS transforms a color in the RGB color space to the LMS color space.
*The function LMS2RGB transforms a color in the LMS color space to the RGB color space.
*The function GeodesicPath calculates the geodesic path between two positions in the space constructed by the two half-planes.
*The function Intersect calculates the intersecting point between Path and $L_{AB}$, which is the intersection line of the two half-planes.
*The function DIS calculates the Euclidean distance of two colors in the LMS color space.
*M_{cvi} denotes the matrix that transforms a normal LMS-triple color to a simulated LMS-triple color (Equation 1).
*X, represented with LMS-triples, denotes the color in the space constructed by the two half-planes.

### 3.2.2 Luminance Consistency

The CVD-friendly blending can generate results with a unique luminance. However, the reduced color space of dichromats (i.e., the two half-planes), is not uniformly distributed. A region (e.g., the region marked by a green star) that is near the intersection line E in red is more compact than a region (e.g., the region marked by a yellow star) that is far from E, as shown in Figure 8 (b). This is because the simulated perception of dichromats is obtained by mapping the LMS space to two half-planes with a warping transformation (Equation 1), which leads to a distortion. This also causes a luminance-inconsistent composition result.

We propose to employ an additional process to modify the luminance channel $L^*$ of the $L^*a^*b^*$-triple after the color composition (Algorithm 1) is performed:

$$L^*(C'_i) = \alpha_i L^*(C_i) + \theta (1 - \alpha_i) L^*(C'_{i-1})$$

where $L^*(\cdot)$ denotes the conversion from a RGB-triple to the $L^*$ color channel. $C_i, C'_{i-1}$, and $\alpha_i$ are of the same meanings as in Equation 2.

Figure 9 (a) is a DVR result generated with the conventional color blending mode. Figure 9 (b) shows the simulated perception for a deuteranope based on (a). Due to the color coincidence induced by the limited perception, the boundary between dentin and pulp dissolves in Figure 9 (b). With the proposed new blending mode, the boundary becomes recognizable (see Figure 9 (c)). However, the luminance of the blended color is much lighter than expected, making the visualization over-blurred. An additional optimization aiming at
luminance consistency further improves the perception of the result, as shown in Figure 9 (d).

Note that a person with the normal vision can observe the internal structures of a semi-transparent DVR result by means of the chromatic information, even with the conventional color blending mode. However, this does not hold for dichromats due to the limitation of the reduced color space. Our approach outperforms existing solutions in the sense that not only the distinguishability is maintained by employing a new CVD-friendly blending mode, but also the luminance consistency is preserved thanks to the luminance correction scheme.

4 Results

We implemented our approach in Microsoft Visual C++ and tested on a number of datasets on a PC equipped with an Intel double-core 3.0 GHz CPU, 3 GB host memory and an Nvidia GeForce GTX 280 video card with 1 GB video memory. The dataset configuration is listed in Table 1. All images are rendered at the resolution of 512 × 512. Figure 10 and Figure 11 show the results for the Feet, Tooth, Head and Schaedel datasets. All results except Figure 10 are generated with 1D transfer functions. Figure 10 (a-c) shows the results using a 2-D transfer function (intensity vs. gradient magnitude). Other results using a 2-D transfer function with shading are demonstrated in Figure 10 (d-f).

In our current implementation, we performed the optimization of the color transfer function on CPU, and modified the pipeline of a CUDA accelerated volume renderer to achieve CVD-friendly color composition. The transfer function optimization can be completed very quickly: the calculation of the coefficients \( a_n \) can achieve a rate of 20k pixels per second for the results without shading, and 4k pixels per second for the ones with shading. For a typical configuration that \( N_r = 200 \) and \( M = 4 \) for Equation 9, the least-squares solver takes less than 0.1 second. More performance statistics using different configurations of \( N_r \) and \( M \) are shown in Table 2. Because the new color composition is performed whenever two colors are mixed, the overhead of the new color composition is about 15% FPS decrease.

4.1 Quantitative Evaluation

The global chromatic diversity (GCD) in an image perceived by dichromats is computed as the average of the color diversities between every pair of pixels. In [13], the efficiency of the recoloring algorithm is evaluated by comparing the global chromatic diversities of the input image and recolored image. A common definition of the color difference employs the Euclidean distance in a device-independent color space, e.g., the CIE L*a*b* color space. Given two colors \( C_i(L_i^a, a_i^*, b_i^*) \) and \( C_j(L_j^a, a_j^*, b_j^*) \), the color difference DIS is defined as:

\[
\text{DIS}(i, j) = \sqrt{(L_i^a - L_j^a)^2 + (a_i^* - a_j^*)^2 + (b_i^* - b_j^*)^2}
\]

Table 1. Configurations for eight volume datasets. #C denotes the class number produced by the opacity transfer function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>#size</th>
<th>#C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engine</td>
<td>256×256×128</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feet</td>
<td>256×128×256</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four-sphere phantom</td>
<td>256×256×256</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>256×256×113</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaedel</td>
<td>512×512×333</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capot</td>
<td>256×256×178</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth</td>
<td>256×256×161</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torso Phantom</td>
<td>256×256×256</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Performance statistics in seconds using different \( N_r \) and \( M \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( N_r )</th>
<th>( M )</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.0624</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.1248</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>1.622</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.2028</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>2.356</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>0.2808</td>
<td>1.373</td>
<td>3.198</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The global chromatic diversities of the DVR results, the simulation to the DVR images, the results of applying the image recoloring algorithm [14] to the DVR images, and our results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Fig.1</th>
<th>Fig.4</th>
<th>Fig.5</th>
<th>Fig.7</th>
<th>Fig.9</th>
<th>Fig.10</th>
<th>Fig.11</th>
<th>Fig.12</th>
<th>Fig.13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DVR</td>
<td>3.73/3.4</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>5.82/6.5</td>
<td>5.74/6.0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simu.</td>
<td>3.33/3.0</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.92/2.5</td>
<td>2.93/2.4</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recol.</td>
<td>3.80/3.3</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6.03/3.8</td>
<td>6.03/3.8</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>3.60/3.2</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3.00(d)</td>
<td>3.2/2.6</td>
<td>6.0/3.0</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \text{DIS}(i, j) \approx 2.3 \) corresponds to a JND (just noticeable difference) of two colors [24].

Table 3 lists the global chromatic diversities of the DVR results, the simulated perception of a deuteranope to the DVR images, the results of applying the image recoloring algorithm [14] to the DVR images, and our results. From the statistics, we conclude that the image recoloring algorithm [14] produces images with the highest GCD values because it is designed to achieve high color contrast. By optimizing the color transfer function, our approach maintains the color consistency during interactive volume exploration, and meanwhile preserves relatively high GCD.

4.2 User Evaluation

A set of tests were performed with 25 volunteers, of which 5 subjects have color vision deficiency and 20 subjects are normal trichromats. All subjects are well educated and are familiar with computers. 96% of them had no experience with volume visualization. The persons with color vision deficiency are further classified into 3 deuteranomalous and 2 deuteranopes with the Ishihara test [9]. All the graphics user interfaces of our system and DVR results were displayed on a 24-inch wide LCD monitor at the sRGB mode.

The first test was designed to verify the effectiveness of the proposed color blending mode. Each subject was presented a sequence of side-by-side result comparisons of the conventional blending mode (Equation 2) and our method for the example shown in Figure 2 (a). With changes of the opacity of the front rectangle from 0 to 1, the color of the overlapped region is changing from the color of the back rectangle to the color of the front one. In this test, all subjects noticed the discontinuous color transition in the overlapped region by the conventional blending mode (see Figure 2 and the video demonstration).

The second test compared the image recoloring scheme and our approach. In particular, the algorithm presented in [14] that can achieve real-time performance and temporal coherent image recoloring effects was studied. Its key idea is to preserve the color contrast while the colors are converted to the color space of the dichromats. Each subject was allowed to freely interact with our
volume visualization system, such as rotating the rendered dataset. Most of them thought that the color contrast is preserved with the image recoloring algorithm [14], while our approach achieves a relatively lower color contrast. When there are more than 3 classes in the DVR, 22 out of 25 subjects observed that the results produced by the algorithm [14] exhibit color inconsistency like the one shown in Figure 1 (c-d). All subjects agreed that our results effectively preserve the coherence and avoid the color inconsistency.

4.3 Discussions

Our approach can be regarded as an improvement to the image recoloring scheme in the context of DVR, rather than a new color design or color configuration solution. The basic motivation of the image recoloring operation is to preserve or even amplify the color contrast. Specifically, the image recoloring algorithm [14] seeks to find a vector $V_{ab}$ in the CIE L*a*b* space which induces the largest contrast loss, and then scale and project the input colors onto the plane defined by L* and $V_{ab}$. It leverages an additional technique to preserve the temporal coherence. Yet, its main drawback in the context of DVR is that it solely maximizes the color contrast in the image space, and may sacrifice the image continuity in the boundary regions or when a semi-transparent effect is employed. Figure 12 (b) shows an example where a sharp discontinuity appears at the boundary of a ring-like object. In contrast, our approach inherently avoids the color inconsistency and preserves the temporal coherence by optimizing the color transfer function. Due to the use of a color accumulation procedure, our approach is capable of preserving important structural information that has been captured in the volume classification stage. Similar to Figure 12 (a), the structure visible in Figure 12 (c) gives a continuous visual cue.

Note that our approach is compatible with all image recoloring approaches. As shown in Figure 13, one image recoloring approach fails to enhance the contrast for the deuteranope, while another one works well.

In solving Equation 9, $N_r$ pixels are sampled to construct the objective function. Using this scheme, it is possible that the coefficient of one color in the transfer function is not caught. In practice, using an adequately large $N_r$ (>$1000$) can mostly eliminate this problem. A more sophisticated solution will be studied in the future.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have described a new DVR approach that can produce satisfactory results for dichromats. Our approach reconfigures the DVR pipeline by combining the image recoloring scheme with a new color optimization technique and a color composition mode. The integrated DVR system achieves interactive performance, and produces pleasing results that reveal correct occlusion information and preserve as much visual detail as possible for dichromats. Experimental results and user evaluation demonstrate that our approach can yield dichromats-friendly and consistent volume visualization.

In the future, we plan to explore more color and transparency design schemes to make volume visualization usable for persons with other disabilities or even children. The automatic view selection can be performed by employing the entropy-based scheme [1]. Studying appropriate automatic view selection schemes is one avenue of future work. In addition, we plan to explore a sophisticated and efficient sampling strategy. A small $N_r$ may be insufficient when the image size of the DVR is large. We also plan to investigate appropriate means to extend our approach to illustrative visualization.
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Fig. 12. (a) A DVR result for the Engine dataset; (b) The result by applying the image recoloring algorithm [14]; (c) Our result; (d) from top to bottom: the pixel chromatic diversities of the marked region in (a-c). Our result exhibits smooth shapes of the internal structures, while the image recoloring operation may result in discontinuity because it increases the color contrast where the contrast is high (circled in red ellipses).

Fig. 13. Our approach is compatible with arbitrary image recoloring algorithms. For instance, using the approach presented in [14] to recolor (a) yields unsatisfactory result (b), even with its exaggeration version, because the number of green pixels in (a) is low. Another image recoloring algorithm of [12] yields an effective result (c). Our result based on (c) is shown in (d).
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