ShaderTransformer: Predicting Shader Quality via One-shot Embedding for Fast Simplification
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Figure 1: Based on the NLP technique, our proposed ShaderTransformer can learn complex attention between shader codes (left) to reveal their contributions to shading quality. Once trained with different scene configurations, the network can predict the influence of shader expressions on the final rendering result under different scene configurations. We highlight the top 7 important expressions predicted by our method (center) and show some of the rendering results after simplifying these expressions (right).

RÉSUMÉ

Given specific scene configurations and target functions, automatic shader simplification searches for the best simplified shader variant from an optimization space with many candidates. Although various speedup methods have been proposed, there is still a costly render-and-evaluate process to obtain variant’s performance and quality, especially when the scene changes.

In this paper, we present a deep learning-based framework for predicting a shader’s simplification space, where the shader’s variants can be embedded into a metric space all at once for efficient quality evaluation. The novel framework allows the one-shot embedding of a space rather than a single instance. In addition, the simplification errors can be interpreted by mutual attention between shader fragments, presenting an informative focus-aware simplification framework that can assist experts in optimizing the codes. The results show that the new framework achieves significant speedup compared with existing search approaches. The focus-aware simplification framework reveals a new possibility of interpreting shaders for various applications.
1 INTRODUCTION

The increasing flexibility of modern graphics hardware allows the rendering of attractive visual effects via complex shaders. Automated shader simplification is a promising solution for meeting real-time requirements in various scene complexities. Several works [He et al. 2015; Olano et al. 2003; Pellacini 2005; Siththiamorn et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2014; Yuan et al. 2018] have proposed to automatically simplify shaders. These works proposed simplification rules including removing operations [Olano et al. 2003], moving fragment shader codes to the vertex/tessellation shader stage [Wang et al. 2014], and moving fragment shader codes to the parameter stage [He et al. 2015]. Applying these rules to the original shader produces a large set of simplified shader variants. Then, searching schemes, such as genetic programming (GP), were introduced to efficiently find the optimal shader variants on the Pareto frontier defined by metrics such as rendering performance and visual quality. However, the simplification framework remains a time-consuming process, mostly because of the cost of the process of rendering and evaluating many shader variants. Classic offline methods [He et al. 2015; Pellacini 2005; Siththiamorn et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2014] seek the average best by rendering a shader variant in multiple scene configurations and averaging the result, which makes existing solutions miss optimal solutions, take a considerable amount of time, and consume a large space to cache the results. Subsequent works have proposed replacing the evaluation by predicting the rendering performance and visual quality through rendering sparse variant samples [He et al. 2015; Yuan et al. 2018]. However, they still need to render example scene configurations multiple times to guide the search. The shader evaluation is often conducted one by one and takes up a large portion of the search time.

The challenge is that although rendering performance can be effectively predicted with a small cost, the visual quality directly depends on the multimodal inputs consisting of shader codes and scene configurations. For example, a simplified shader that removes AO terms may yield good quality in a flat scene but may fail on bump surfaces.

To address such a challenge, in this paper, we consider two modifications to provide a better evaluation of shader candidates. First, we decouple the scene configurations with the shader codes. Therefore, we do not need to conduct the render-and-evaluate process while the scene changes. Second, we want to evaluate the qualities of simplified variants all at once and thus avoid costly one-by-one evaluation.

However, analyzing shaders is a complicated multimodal challenge that involves programming languages, mathematical functions, and 3D inputs. Recently, the natural language processing (NLP) community has been exhilarated by the significant success of the Transformer [Vaswani et al. 2017b] structure. The new structure can efficiently capture contextual information from the entire sequence rather than one locality. We find that this property is suitable for finding the distant relationship between variables in the shader code. Therefore we propose a Transformer that takes shader inputs such as G-buffers and uniform parameters as conditions. Unlike common neural network structures, the transformer’s output is not specific values but represents the original shader’s simplification space. We can easily embed shader variants as vectors by manipulating the output attention cache. Then the visual errors are naturally represented by the distances between the embeddings of the original shader and its variants.

Specifically, we first train a model from scratch for each shader. At run time, a trained model takes arbitrary shader inputs to predict a simplification space, where we can analytically query shader variants’ visual quality. Using this process to replace the existing render-and-evaluate process significantly improves the search speed and accuracy. In contrast, GP cannot generalize to new configurations and needs to re-evaluate each object’s variants to avoid over-averaging. Thus, it takes a lot of time for applications like games with thousands of different objects.

Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- A multimodal conditional Transformer that takes shader inputs as conditions to embed the shader variants into a simplification space according to visual quality.
- A fast automatic shader simplification framework that replaces the render-and-evaluate process with a deep learning-based embedding mechanism.
- A deferred query mechanism that enables the one-shot embedding of the entire space with one neural network inference. It reduces the embedding overhead by several orders.

2 RELATED WORK

Shader Simplification: Shader simplification can be regarded as the approximation of signals on the surface. Technically, the computations of surface signals can be approximated and simplified by removing operations or reducing sampling rates. Texture removal [Olano et al. 2003] was introduced by Olano et al. [Olano et al. 2003] for lossy simplification and Pellacini extended simplification rules with the removal of binary operations or loop expressions [Pellacini 2005].

The core idea of reducing sampling rates is to move per-fragment shader terms to other stages and then use these terms in the fragment shader. Olano et al. [Olano et al. 2003] and Pellacini [Pellacini 2005] proposed to prebake some per-fragment shader terms as textures or constants. Wang et al. [Wang et al. 2014] moved these terms to vertex or tessellation shaders. He et al. [He et al. 2015] moved per-fragment or per-vertex operations into the parameter shader stage.

Besides, searching is another step of shader simplification. Pit-Chaya Sithithamorn et al. [Siththiamorn et al. 2011] proposed a genetic programming-based shader simplification scheme to select
optimal shaders that balance performance and image quality. He et al. [He et al. 2016] presented a new shading language and compiler framework named Spire that facilitates rapid exploration of shader optimization choices. Yuan et al. [Yuan et al. 2018] proposed a parallel runtime algorithm to efficiently search in the simplification dependency graph for optimal simplified shaders. Beyond that, some extended applications have been explored. Song et al. [Song et al. 2011] simplified both geometry and shaders. They adopted a progressive mesh to obtain an appropriate geometric representation and employed error control to choose the levels of the shader and geometry. Lewis and Michael [Crawford and O’Boyle 2018] focused on the impact of compiler optimization. They explored common features of graphics shaders and examined the impact and applicability of common optimizations.

**Code Processing:** Considerable neural network models have been proposed for automatic code summarization, documentation, retrieval, and generation. Several representations have been introduced to represent programs, for example, a sequence of tokens [Bhoopchand et al. 2016; Hindle et al. 2012] and the syntax tree structure of codes [Raychev et al. 2016; ?]. Recurrent neural network (RNN) architectures, including bidirectional recurrent neural networks (BRNNs) [Cho et al. 2014], LSTMs [Alon et al. 2018], and tree-structured LSTMs [Tai et al. 2015], have been widely adopted to encode a sequence of tokens or the syntax tree structure of codes. In general, the syntax tree structure of codes can also be regarded as a graph, and therefore graph neural networks (GNNs) [Allamanis et al. 2017] have been applied to represent codes in the network. Cummins et al. [Cummins et al. 2017] developed a network that learns heuristics over raw code for simultaneous code representation and optimization. Chen et al. [Chen et al. 2018] introduced a learning-based framework that learns cost models to guide the search of tensor operator implementations over program variants for deep learning workload optimization. In the computer graphics community, a novel framework has been proposed to automatically schedule Halide programs for high-performance image processing and deep learning [Adams et al. 2019]. It outperforms human experts and produces schedules that are almost twice as fast as the existing Halide autoscheduler.

**Transformer:** The Transformer architecture and self-attention models have been widely used in natural language processing (NLP) and have revolutionized various NLP applications [Dai et al. 2019; Kenton and Toutanova 2019; Vaswani et al. 2017a; Wu et al. 2018]. These works also inspire the evolution of self-attention-based image processing neural networks [Dosovitskiy et al. 2020; Hu et al. 2019; Parmar et al. 2018; Ramachandran et al. 2019; Zhao et al. 2020]. The key to success is the self-attention model that enables the network to capture contextual information from the entire sequence rather than locality. Technically, each element actively queries the correlation with every other element regardless of distance, forming dynamic connections depending on the inputs. While classic shader code process techniques have trouble building connections between distant variable pairs, the Transformer’s capability of learning contextual information from the long sequence is valuable for analyzing shader codes.

**Metric Learning:** Metric learning analyzes the similarity between data to embed data into a latent manifold space. After the embedding, high-dimensional data can be handily applied in various applications, such as image recognition [Hadsell et al. 2006] and image retrieval [Wang et al. 2017]. For computer graphics, a recent work adapts contrastive learning to explore the coherent information within the high-dimensional path space [Cho et al. 2021]. A recent survey covers more details [Kaya and Bilge 2019]. This paper focuses on embedding shader variants into a manifold with distances following simplification errors. Unlike common embedding techniques that process single instances one by one, we propose one-shot embedding to efficiently embed the entire simplification space, i.e., the set of many shader variants, with only one neural network inference. The one-shot embedding technique reduces the embedding cost by several orders of magnitude.

### 3 PROBLEM STATEMENT

At a high level, the goal of shader simplification is to find a sequence of shader variants that take less time to render while preserving more visual quality than other variants. The classic shader simplification approach relies on an offline process to generate a sequence of simplified shader variants from an original shader \( s_0 \). Figure 2 illustrates the flowchart of such a framework. The original shader code is usually converted to an abstract syntax tree (AST). Different shader simplification rules may be applied to generate a set of simplified shader variants. The generated variants can be further simplified, thus generating more variants in the next iteration. Exhaustive exploration of all possible variants is costly, and previous methods conduct the render-and-evaluate process to obtain variants’ rendering quality and performance, then choose a smaller number of representative variants for further simplification. For example, GP [Sitchiamorn et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2014] or greedy search [He et al. 2015] selects variants at the Pareto frontier, and the online method [Yuan et al. 2018] selects variants at the cluster center in preprocessing and prioritizes them using runtime search.

To evaluate a simplified shader variant \( s_\mathcal{V} \), and provide a specific scene with multiple shader inputs, e.g., geometry, uniforms, and textures, the engine renders a scene, then acquires a rendered image, and the computation cost \( t(s_\mathcal{V}) \). The rendering quality can be measured by comparing the rendered image with the ground truth (GT) image rendered by the original shader \( s_0 \):

\[
\epsilon(s_\mathcal{V}, u) = \| I(s_\mathcal{V}, u) - I(s_0, u) \|_2, \tag{1}
\]

where \( u \) represents generalized shader inputs, such as uniform parameters and geometric attributes of the given scene configuration, and \( I \) denotes the rendered image. Then, shader simplification is formulated as a multi-objective problem in the two-dimensional cost space \((\epsilon, t)\). Finally, Pareto-optimal solutions are used to seek better shader variants.

In general, the rendering quality is a function of the shader variant \( s_\mathcal{V} \) and shader inputs \( u \). To obtain better generalization to scene changes in runtime, offline methods sample different scene configurations to acquire the average rendering quality \( \epsilon \) and computational cost \( t \). However, each sampling means rendering the scene one time, thereby evaluating multiple shader variants with multiple scene configurations making the entire simplification process time-consuming.
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In general, we parse the original shader’s codes into nodes. Then, the MLP-based shader encoder converts the codes to latent vectors. Next, the context encoder learns the relationship between shader nodes to predict a global context vector (CV). Additionally, the shader inputs of the scene configuration are encoded as a condition of the context encoder. Finally, the node decoder combines the global CV and node latent vectors into a node vector. Three small blocks, key, query, and value convert the node vectors into an attention cache representing the shader variant’s embedding in the simplification space. The rendering quality can be directly extracted from the simplification space by measuring the distance between the embedding of the shader variant and the original shader. In the following, we discuss each specific design. The detailed network structure is in the supplementary document.

### 4 NETWORK

The design of the network faces several challenges. First, the evaluation is a multimodal function that takes both shader inputs and shader codes as inputs. Inspired by NLP, we propose a conditional Transformer structure to process shader codes and communicate information between multimodal inputs. The structure first encodes shader inputs via a PointNet-like branch and then inserts the transformer’s encoding. Second, there are countless shader variants of the original shader, and it is inefficient to infer the shader variants one by one. We prefer evaluating a space rather than a single shader variant at one network inference. Therefore, we propose a simplification space that can embed the shader variants with rendering quality. Instead of directly inferring specific values, the network infers an attention cache, which enables the one-shot embedding of the entire space rather than a single instance.

The overall pipeline is shown in Figure 3. Given original shader $s_0$ and scene configuration $u$, the target is to predict the rendering quality of various shader variants $s_v$ (Eq. 1). The backbone of the network structure is the shader transformer, which processes shader codes like NLP. Because scene configurations can determine the rendered content of a shader, it is important to train the network to generate scene-dependent results. Here, we use the input encoder to encode the scene as a condition for the context encoder. The node encoder, node decoder, key, query, and value blocks are used to convert multimodal data, such as shader codes, implicit vectors, and simplification space representations.

In general, we parse the original shader’s codes into nodes. Then, the MLP-based shader encoder converts the codes to latent vectors.

**Figure 2**: Shader simplification framework, we use our learned embedding to accurately predict multiple shader variants’ qualities all at once.

In our paper, we use deep learning to efficiently evaluate the rendering quality of the shader variants by training a neural network to learn $\epsilon$. We introduce a conditional transformer to predict a novel simplification space of the original shader. In the simplification space, shader variants are embedded as vectors, and their quality can be handily measured with distances all at once. We consider that this architecture is parallel to a specific simplification framework and can be greatly beneficial to both online and offline methods.

### 4.1 Node Encoder

We apply the general NLP method to encode our shader codes. First, we still use an abstract syntax tree to represent the codes, and each symbol in the codes is regarded as an intermediate node. Then, we traverse the tree nodes in a depth-first order to encode the shader codes as sequences of words. Subsequently, we embed the words into 128-dimensional vectors. As in the common Transformer neural network [Vaswani et al. 2017b], each embedded vector and its position encoding are fed into the MLP-based node encoder to generate a shader node $m_s$.

### 4.2 Input Encoder

Typical shader inputs consist of vertex attributes (position, normal, uv coordinates, etc.), shader uniforms (view or light position, etc.) and textures. They have various dimensions and are not suitable for networks to process. Our network focuses on the screen space error, so following a common technique like G-buffer [Deering et al. 1988], we generate a buffer consisting of the attributes from rasterization and shader uniforms, such as “worldpos” or “light-dir” buffers in the common shader.

Given a scene configuration, the input encoder processes the shader inputs to a vector as the condition of the shader transfer. The structure of the input encoder is based on PointNet [Qi et al. 2017]. Because the fragment shader is a function of the shader inputs on a single pixel, we use PointNet rather than CNN to prevent introducing inaccurate correlation between pixels. This technical choice significantly improves the generalization capability of the input encoder. The uniform parameters are shared by all pixels. We simply stack them on the end of the G-buffer to form a high-dimensional point as network inputs.

### 4.3 Context Encoder

The context encoder is the backbone of the network with two functions. First, it learns the relationship between shader nodes. The Transformer structure is a state-of-the-art NLP model that has recently attracted significant attention [Vaswani et al. 2017b]. It can efficiently explore the long-distance relationship between nodes and preserve the information of the orders simultaneously. This feature benefits the exploration of the contextual connections of variables in the shader codes. Second, it learns the correlation between multimodal information. The shader inputs are image-space features, but the shader nodes are language information. Considering the application scenario, we treat the encoded shader inputs as
a condition of the context encoder. Therefore, once the network is trained for the original shader, we can feed dynamic shader inputs into the network for adaptive simplification. The final output of the context encoder is a context vector (CV) that contains the global information of the shader.

4.4 Node Decoder

The decoding part of the network includes the Transformer-based node decoder and three lightweight blocks. The CV is in contact with the shader nodes as the inputs of the node decoder. Intuitively, the network combines global information (CV) with local information (shader node) to predict node embedding \( n_i \). The trainable key, query, and value, respectively convert \( n_i \) into corresponding attention elements \( k_i = \text{Key}(n_i) \), \( q_i = \text{Query}(n_i) \), and \( v_i = \text{Value}(n_i) \). Here \( k_i \) and \( q_i \) are scalars, and \( v_i \) is a vector. These elements jointly represent the simplification space as discussed next.

4.5 Deferred Query

Inspired by the attention mechanism, we design a deferred query mechanism to represent the simplification space all at once. As shown in Figure 3, we cache the network outputs and perform the query of each shader variant’s embedding analytically. Technically, the final embedding \( S_o \) of the shader variant is computed as :

\[
S_o = \text{softmax}(Q \times K^T) \cdot V,
\]

\[
Q = [\text{Query}(n_1), \text{Query}(n_2), ..., \text{Query}(n_v)] ,
\]

\[
V = [\text{Value}(n_1), \text{Value}(n_2), ..., \text{Value}(n_v)] ,
\]

\[
K = [\text{Key}(n_1), \text{Key}(n_2), ..., \text{Key}(n_v)] ,
\]

where \( \text{Key}(\cdot) \), \( \text{Query}(\cdot) \), and \( \text{Value}(\cdot) \) represent the three corresponding network blocks, \( v \) denotes the number of nodes of the original shader, and \( \delta_k = 128 \) is a normalization term [Kenton and Toutanova 2019]. If a node \( n_i \) has been deleted from a simplified shader variant, we use a dummy vector \( \text{null} \) to replace \( n_i \) in the equation to embed the variant. As shown in Figure 3 (b), we use the full attention cache to analytically embed original shader \( s_o \). However, for simplified shader \( s_o \), the cache elements of the corresponding nodes are replaced with a dummy constant vector \( \text{null} \).

4.6 Loss Function

Inspired by deep metric learning, the embedding is trained by a loss function based on the shading error of the shader variant. Specifically, the loss function is

\[
\text{Loss}(s_o, u) = \frac{L(x^o, u) - L(x^o, u) + \delta}{\|\|x^o, u\|\|}.
\]

We use relative L2 loss in our loss function because the application in shader simplification will be more interested in shader variants with minor difference values. We add hyperparameter \( \delta = 10^{-4} \) to avoid zero in the division. Note that \( L(x^o, u) \) is the predicted difference value of our neural network, and \( L(x^o, u) \) is the GT difference value. We also apply L2 regularization to our training parameters in our training. The regularization weight is \( \lambda = 0.1 \).

5 NETWORK TRAINING

5.1 Shader Simplification Rules

A simplification algorithm would choose suitable simplification rules, as described in Sec. 2, to simplify the original shader. Currently, we focus on rules that do not change shader inputs. Therefore,
other rules, such as code lift [He et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2014], are considered as future extensions. For now, our system supports two rules:

(a) Expression reduction: Expression reduction can efficiently eliminate algebraic operations and has been used widely in several shader simplification systems. We adopt two expression reduction rules proposed by Pellacini, but discard the rules requiring runtime data and information. More precisely, let us consider the binary operator \( a \otimes b \); after a reduction, we have two simplified expressions, \( a \otimes b \rightarrow a \) and \( a \otimes b \rightarrow b \). We also add if-removing optimization, and we randomly choose one of the branches in the if-else statement.

(b) Expression replacement: Expression replacement is a new effective rule that replaces statements with other expressions or values that have been previously computed. For example, the variable “\( N_{\text{dotH}} \)” in shader codes can be replaced with “\( N_{\text{dotL}} \)”, thus saving extra dot instructions.

5.2 Training Data Generation

Our approach takes four steps to generate data. With the original shaders, we first generate simplified shader variants according to the simplification rules in Section 5.1. Second, we randomly choose valid scene configurations and render images of the original shader and simplified variants. Buffers and shading results are recorded. Then, we parse each shader and extract intermediate nodes. Finally, we pack buffers, the mean-square error of shading results, and intermediate nodes of both the original and simplified shaders as one training sample.

Example Scene: Models with different scales of shader inputs are included in the sample scenes. Ten models, such as a dragon and a bunny, are used in our early experiments. Then, we collect 25 extra additional CAD models from ModelNet10 [Wu et al. 2015]. After showing promising results in a single model, we further extend our database to include five large indoor scenes, such as Sponza and breakfast room. The complex materials and textures that come with these scenes further validate our approach with complex shaders. In summary, we collect about 40 different scenes/models, 32 of them are used for training and 8 for testing.

Data Generation: Camera position and light condition are sampled around the object at different distances to generate sufficient random data while maintaining meaningful rendering results. For uniform parameters, we also randomly sample the values in a physically valid range. For example, roughness is sampled within \([0,1]\). Different meshes and associated textures are provided to introduce diverse shader input data.

Because variants can share the shader input buffer during training, for a particular scene/uniform sample, we only need to generate a shader input buffer once. We use the abstract syntax tree (AST) to automatically transform the code to support deferred rendering. In this way, all shader variants can share the same shader input buffer so that a great amount of rasterization costs are saved.

5.3 Training Process

We trained our network on one machine with an NVIDIA 2080Ti GPU (11 GB video memory). The size of the training data highly depends on shader codes. Table 1 shows some statistics of our experiments. For a shader with 900 variants and 1,000 shader inputs, it takes approximately 24 hours (10,000 epochs) to converge.

Optimizer and Regularization: We use the Adam optimizer [Kingma and Ba 2014] with a learning rate of \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \) and employ two types of regularization during training: dropout and layer normalization. Similar to the Transformer [Vaswani et al. 2017b], we apply dropout and layer normalization in each sublayer. In addition, we use dropout in our multiple perception network to encode context.

Importance Sampling: We first sample thousands of scene configurations, then apply importance sampling to reduce the number of scene configurations to a trainable size. For each scene configuration, we compute the variance of all variants’ rendering errors. Then we use variance as a probability density function to sample 400 scene configurations. In addition, we uniformly sample 600 scene configurations, producing a training set with 1,000 scene configurations.

6 EVALUATION

We use glslang to parse the shader code into AST, on which simplification rules can be applied and intermediate nodes can be easily extracted. The network is trained on TensorFlow with a 256×256 shader input buffer. All results in this section are measured using our test set that consists of scenes outside the training set. The experiments are conducted using a PC with an Intel Xeon Silver 4110 CPU and an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 2080Ti GPU.

As shown in Figure 2, we implement the classic GP-based offline shader simplification framework. The time-consuming render-and-evaluate stage is replaced by the deferred query of the attention cache. Due to the parallel nature of neural networks, a large number of shader variants can be evaluated simultaneously. We modify the heuristic performance model presented in [He et al. 2015] by deleting the vertex stage cost using a simple performance model that counts float operations in the fragment shader for each variant.

We conduct several experiments to prove that our one-shot embedding can boost the optimization process by replacing the costly render-and-evaluate process. We believe our embedding can be beneficial to other heuristic-based search methods.

6.1 Embedding Accuracy

We use a prediction error (E) to illustrate the average distance between our predicted error and the measured error. As shown in Table 1, most of the training errors are less than \( 10^{-4} \), but the test error of complex shaders with layers of textures are significantly larger than basic BRDF shaders due to scene complexity. In Figure 5, we show the results of each variants’ predicted and evaluated error. These results validate our neural network which has perfect overall effects in estimating visual errors and is accurate enough to provide a useful guide for shader simplification.

Moreover, we show an experiment in Figure 4 to verify that the network can generalize to different scene configurations: left is a glossy dragon and right is a diffuse box. Because our embedding is conditional to the shader inputs, the evaluated and predicted errors are closely matched in both scenes. Closely examining the shader codes, we see:

\[
\text{origin} : \text{float} \; \text{diff} = 0.5 \; \ast \; \text{N_{\text{dotL}}}; \quad \text{gl_FragColor} = \text{diff} + \text{spec};
\]
Table 1: Results Analysis. Various shaders with different inputs are tested in our experiments. The results show that our method can give accurate predictions in new scenes (row $\epsilon_{\text{test}}$). After replacing the render-and-evaluate process with our network prediction, the shader simplification consumes considerably less time than traditional methods (row $T_{\text{ours}}$, $T_{\text{infer}}$, and $T_{\text{render}}$ are measured in minutes). $T_{\text{infer}}$ reports network usage time to directly infer variant quality without our deferred query scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shader</th>
<th>Basic BRDF</th>
<th>Layers of textures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blinn-Phong</td>
<td>GGX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variants#</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{train}} \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{test}} \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{ours}}$</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{infer}}$</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{render}}$</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Bottom: The predicted and evaluated error of a Blinn-Phong shader’s variants in ascending order; under a new scene configuration, the same shader variant has different rendering quality, which is also well predicted by our method. Top: The visual comparison between the original and simplified shader in two sample scenes.

Vid 57: float diff = 0.5 * NdotL; gl_FragColor = spec;  
Vid 58: float diff = 0.5; gl_FragColor = spec.

Since the diffuse term is removed in variant 57, the evaluated error in the diffuse box scene is disproportionately larger than in the glossy dragon scene. In variant 58, the variable NdotL is also removed, but the rendered results remain the same as in variant 57. Our network captures such relations and gives a close prediction.

6.2 GP-based Shader Simplification Comparison

We modify the classic genetic programming (GP) method by replacing the evaluation stage. Note that the original paper [Sitthiamorn et al. 2011] introduces complex rendering methods to speed up the evaluation. However, in our implementation, the rendering quality of multiple variants can be queried all at once, resulting in an average query time of 0.7 ms for one variant. In contrast, the actual rendering of a small patch to evaluate the quality costs 80-120 ms. As summarized in Table 1, GP requires up to hours in some complex scenes to render multiple times for each simplified shader variant. Our method requires approximately only one minute.

The Pareto frontier is significant for shader simplification algorithms. In Figure 6, we show that the Pareto frontier found by our modified simplification algorithm closely matches the classic method using the evaluated error in different scene settings except on one point in the Cook-Torrance shader. More results can be found in the supplementary document.

6.3 Ablation Study on One-shot Embedding

The core idea of one-shot embedding is the use of one inference to predict multiple variants’ qualities via the deferred query scheme. In Table 1, we present the results of an ablation study that disables the deferred query scheme and reports the prediction time in $T_{\text{infer}}$. Note that in our current implementation, the deferred query process is not fully optimized by parallel computing. Therefore the measured speedup is smaller than the theoretical speedup.
Yang and Barnes 2018] that conducts focus on fragment shaders, and some useful simplification rules input encoder component. Second, our neural network still only and uniform parameters. There is a need to expand the size of only supports less than 32 float parameters, including textures in the future. First, it can deal with limited shader inputs and now hard boundaries.

surface position, which produces white circles in black cubes with high-frequency and discontinuous shading signals. Figure 7 shows a failure case using the procedure shader Circle [Li et al. 2020; Yang and Barnes 2018] that conducts fract and sign functions on a surface position, which produces white circles in black cubes with hard boundaries.

6.4 Shader Expression Importance
In practice, a trial and error simplification process guided by an expert is commonly used. However, it is usually difficult for a graphics expert to tell which statement/expression has contributed most to the overall rendering quality. Fortunately, our embedding approach can give a direct mapping between the shader code and the rendering quality condition to the shader inputs. We can now even back-trace a rendering error to the expression and variables, thus gaining a powerful insight into the quality importance of the expression shown in Figure 1. Specifically, to obtain the rendering error of eliminating an expression or statement, we can skip the tedious shader compiler and rendering by simply setting corresponding nodes with dummy constant vector null, and the query can be performed in batch. After all the expressions have been queried, we divide the queried error by $\epsilon \equiv \|1 - I(S_0, u)\|_2$, namely, the error of a black image, to obtain normalized importance.

7 DISCUSSION AND LIMITATIONS
Our neural network performs poorly with shaders that generate high-frequency and discontinuous shading signals. Figure 7 shows a failure case using the procedure shader Circle [Li et al. 2020; Yang and Barnes 2018] that conducts fract and sign functions on a surface position, which produces white circles in black cubes with hard boundaries.

Our neural network has two main limitations that can be studied in the future. First, it can deal with limited shader inputs and now only supports less than 32 float parameters, including textures and uniform parameters. There is a need to expand the size of input encoder component. Second, our neural network still only focuses on fragment shaders, and some useful simplification rules such as move to vertex stage rules [Wang et al. 2014] or move to parameter stage rules [He et al. 2015] require representing the graphics pipeline using a neural network. We think this will be an interesting topic for graphics studies.

8 CONCLUSION
To speed up the time-consuming render-and-evaluate process, we present a deep learning-based framework based on a conditional Transformer to predict shader variants’ qualities. First, our neural network encodes shader inputs via a PointNet-like branch to communicate information between multimodal inputs. We also propose a simplification space that can embed the shader variants with rendering quality. Instead of directly inferring specific values, the network infers an attention cache, which enables one-shot embedding of the entire space rather than a single instance. We conduct several experiments to prove that our one-shot embedding can boost the optimization by replacing the costly render-and-evaluate process.

Experiments show that our method produces accurate embedding and Pareto frontier results. Most remarkably, the search time improves by approximately 350 times. Finally, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first time a transformer has been introduced to analyze shader codes.

One significance of our paper is its attempt to explore correlations within rendering processes using intelligent techniques. An advanced neural network can help our rendering system to adaptively choose a suitable level of detail and balance rendering quality and performance. Using a neural network to accelerate the rendering pipeline will be a worthwhile topic of study. Secondly, recently Program Traces [Yang et al. 2021] have provided a novel direction for learning for shaders. We believe that including some intermediate program traces as inputs will improve our precision and enlarge our application range. Moreover, combining natural language processing with computer graphics already has many applications, such as image captions, but these applications are still simple, and we can explore more sophisticated ones. Finally, a neural network can make the code easy to understand and help turn our idea into reality. Therefore, we also expect more works to be done on this topic.
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