

章国锋 浙江大学CAD&CG国家重点实验室

Image Blending



© NASA

cs195g: Computational Photography James Hays, Brown, Fall 2008

Image Composition









Compositing Procedure

1. Extract Sprites (e.g using Intelligent Scissors in Photoshop)









2. Blend them into the composite (in the right order)



Composite by David Dewey

两种方法

泊松图像编辑
交互式数字蒙太奇



sources

destinations

cloning

seamless cloning



cloning

seamless cloning

sources/destinations



source/destination

cloning

seamless cloning

Poisson image editing Patrick Pérez, Michel Gangnet, Andrew Blake SIGGRAPH 2003

Using generic interpolation machinery based on solving **Poisson equations**, a variety of novel tools are introduced **for seamless editing of image regions**.

知识点概括

偏微分方程PDE
泊松方程与拉普拉斯方程
边界条件
简单插值
导向插值

最常用的PDE(Partial Differential Equation)

 $\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$ Wave Equation \mathcal{U} $= c^2 \nabla^2 u + f(t, x, y, z)$ ∂t^2 Heat Equation ∂u $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = c^2 \nabla^2 u + f(t, x, y, z)$



Poisson Equation, Steady State of Wave Equation and Heat Equation

$$\nabla^2 u = f(x, y, z)$$

Laplace's Equation

 $\nabla^2 u = 0$

边界条件Boundary Condition

Dirichlet Boundary Conditions

 Specify the value of the function on a surface

 Neumann Boundary Condition

 Specify the normal derivative of the function on a surface





Figure 1: Guided interpolation notations. Unknown function f interpolates in domain Ω the destination function f^* , under guidance of vector field **v**, which might be or not the gradient field of a source function g.

简单插值Simple Interpolation

Maximize the Smoothness $\begin{cases} \min \int_{\Omega} \|\nabla f\|^{2} \\ f|_{\partial\Omega} = f^{*}|_{\partial\Omega} \end{cases}$

Solution: Laplace Equation with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^2 f = 0\\ f|_{\partial\Omega} = f^*|_{\partial\Omega} \end{cases}$$

导向插值Guided Interpolation



求解上述问题

Discretize the Minimization Directly

$$\min_{f \mid \Omega} \sum_{\langle p,q \rangle \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset} (f_p - f_q - v_{pq})^2 \text{ with } f_p = f_p^* \text{ for } \forall p \in \partial \Omega$$

Partial Derivative

for
$$\forall p \in \Omega$$
, $|N_p| f_p - \sum_{q \in N_p \cap \Omega} f_q = \sum_{q \in N_p \cap \partial \Omega} f_q^* + \sum_{q \in N_p} v_{pq}$

Partial Derivative for Interior Points

$$|N_p| f_p - \sum_{q \in N_p} f_q = + \sum_{q \in N_p} v_{pq}$$



求解上述问题

 Linear System of Equations
 Gauss-Seidel Method with Successive Overrelaxation
 V-cycle Multigrid
 Discretize Laplacian with Discrete Laplacian of Gaussian

<u>http://www.tau.ac.il/~stoledo/taucs/</u>Taucs

应用: 图像的无缝拼接 (Seamless Cloning)

Importing Gradients from a Source Image





for all $\langle p,q\rangle$, $v_{pq} = g_p - g_q$

Seamless Cloning Results



Horror Photo



© david dmartin (Boston College)



© Chris Cameron

Texture Transfer



swapped textures

Seamless Cloning: Mixing Gradients

Two Proposals

Define v as Linear Combination of Source and Destination Gradients

Select Stronger one from Source and Destination Gradients (not conservative!) for all $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \nabla f^*(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } |\nabla f^*(\mathbf{x})| > |\nabla g(\mathbf{x})| \\ \nabla g(\mathbf{x}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Discretization

 $\begin{array}{ccc} f_p^* - f_q^* & \text{if } |f_p^* - f_q^*| > |g_p - g_q| \\ g_p - g_q & \text{otherwise}, \end{array} \end{array}$

Mixing Gradients Results





(a) color-based cutout and paste



(b) seamless cloning





(c) seamless cloning and destination averaged



(d) mixed seamless cloning

Mixing Gradients Results



source

destination



Mixing Gradients Results



source/destination

seamless cloning

mixed seamless cloning

Texture Flattening

Remain Only Salient Gradients

for all $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = M(\mathbf{x})\nabla f^*(\mathbf{x})$

Discretization

 $v_{pq} = \begin{cases} f_p - f_q & \text{if an edge lies between } p \text{ and } q \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$

Texture Flattening



Edge mask

Local Illumination Changes

Fattal Transformation

 $\mathbf{v} = \alpha^{\beta} |\nabla f^*|^{-\beta} \nabla f^*$



Local Color Changes

- Mix two different colored version of original image
 - One provide f^{*} outside
 - One provide g inside

Local Color Changes



Figure 11: Local color changes. Left: original image showing selection Ω surrounding loosely an object of interest; center: background decolorization done by setting *g* to the original color image and f^* to the luminance of *g*; right: recoloring the object of interest by multiplying the RGB channels of the original image by 1.5, 0.5, and 0.5 respectively to form the source image.

Seamless Tiling

- Select original image as g
- Boundary condition:
 - $\Box f_{north}^{*} = f_{south}^{*} = 0.5(g_{north} + g_{south})$ $\Box Similarly for the east and west$

Seamless Tiling




Interactive Digital Photomontage

Aseem Agarwala, Mira Dontcheva Maneesh Agrawala, Steven Drucker, Alex Colburn Brian Curless, David Salesin, Michael Cohen















The Photomontage Framework

begins with a set of source images(image stack).

Image stack



Brush

User brushes a specific label onto each image.

 The user goes through an iterative refinement process to create a composite. Associated with the composite is a *labeling*.

Brush and Refine



Graph Cuts

Definition & Notation

- 对于一个图 G = (V, E),其中 V 为节点集合,包括源点s和终点t、 以及其他诸多中间节点集合V',E 为连接这些节点的边,每条边附 有容量c(u, v)代表节点u通过这 条边流向节点v所能承受的最大流 量。
- Graph cuts的目的在于找到图的 Min-cut, Cut将 V'分割为两个部 分,去掉这些边将使舍得图中的 任意一个节点只与s或t相连通,而 Min-cut是所有cut中边的能量值总 和最小的一个。



Graph Cuts

Recommended Paper

Yuri Boykov, Olga Veksler, Ramin Zabih. Fast Approximate Energy Minimization via Graph Cuts. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 23(11): 1222-1239, 2001.

Graph Cuts Home Page

http://www.cs.cornell.edu/~rdz/graphcuts.html

Source code:

http://www.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/V.Kolmogorov/software.html

An Introduction to Graph-Cut

- Graph-cut is an algorithm that finds a globally optimal
- Segmentation solution.
- Also know as Min-cut.
- Equivalent to Max-flow. [1]

For any network having a single origin and single destination node, the maximum possible flow from origin to destination equals the minimum cut value for all cuts in the network.

[1] Wu and Leahy: An Optimal Graph Theoretic Approach to Data Clustering:...

What is a "cut"?

A graph G = (V,E) can be partitioned into two disjoint sets, $A, B, A \cup B \models V, A \cap B = 0$

by simply removing edges connecting the two parts.

The degree of dissimilarity between these two pieces can be computed as total weight of the edges that have been removed. In graph theoretic language it is called the *cut*:

$$cut(A,B) = \sum_{u \in A, v \in B} w(u,v) \quad [2].$$

[2] Shi and Malik: Normalized cuts and image segmentation.





- A source node and a sink node
- Directed edge (Arc) <i,j> from node i to node j
- Each arc <i,j> has a nonnegative capacity cap(i,j)
- cap(i, j) = 0 for non-exist arcs



- Flow is a real value function f that assign a real value f(i, j) to each arc <i,j> under :
 - Capacity constraint : $f(i, j) \le cap(i, j)$
 - Mass balance constraint:

$$\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \in E} f(i,j) - \sum_{\langle k,i \rangle \in E} f(k,i) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \in V - \{s,t\} \\ |f| & i = s \\ -|f| & i = t \end{cases}$$

|f| is the value of flow f



 maximum flow is the flow has maximum value among all possible flow functions

- A cut is a partition of node set V which has two subsets S and T
- A cut is a s-t cut iif $s \in S, t \in T$





 Minimum cut is the s-t cut whose capacity is minimum among all possible s-t cuts





Pixel labeling problem

Given



Assignment cost for giving a particular label to a particular node. Written as D.

Separation cost for assigning a particular pair of labels to neighboring nodes. Written as V.

Find

Labeling
$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$$



Such that the sum of the assignment costs and separation costs (the energy E) is small

Energy Minimization

Optimizing the labeling problem can be thought of as minimizing some energy function.

$$E(f) = \sum_{p \in P} D_p(f_p) + \sum_{p,q \in N} V_{p,q}(f_p, f_q)$$

$$D_p(f_p)$$

measure of image discrepancy

 $\underset{p,q\in N}{\sum} V_{p,q} \Big(\boldsymbol{f}_p, \boldsymbol{f}_q \Big)$

measure of smoothness or other visual constraints

The Labeling Problem





Common idea behind many Computer Vision problems Assign labels to pixels based on noisy measurements (input images)

In the presence of uncertainties, find the best Labeling !

(Stereo, 3D Reconstruction, Segmentation, Image Restoration)

Multi-Label Graph-Cuts

• $\alpha - \beta$ Swap

Semi-metric

 $V(\alpha,\beta)=V(\beta,\alpha)\geq 0 \ \text{ and } \ V(\alpha,\beta)=0 \ \Leftrightarrow \ \alpha=\beta.$

 α – expansion

Metric

If V also satisfies the triangle inequality

 $V(\alpha,\beta) \le V(\alpha,\gamma) + V(\gamma,\beta)$

 $\alpha - \beta$ Swap

1. Start with an arbitrary labeling f2. Set success := 0 3. For each pair of labels $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subset \mathcal{L}$ 3.1. Find $\hat{f} = \arg\min E(f')$ among f' within one $\alpha - \beta$ swap of f3.2. If $E(\hat{f}) < E(f)$, set $f := \hat{f}$ and success := 1 4. If success = 1 goto 2 5. Return f



Minimize the objective

- For the task of image composition. The goal of the refinement is to minimize a penalty function.
- In our case, we define the *cost function* C of a pixel labeling L as the sum of two terms: a *data penalty* C_d over all pixels p and an *interaction penalty* C_i over all pairs of neighboring pixels p,q:

$$C(L) = \sum_{p} C_{d}(p, L(p)) + \sum_{p,q} C_{i}(p, q, L(p), L(q))$$
(1)

Data Penalty

For the task of image composition, the data penalty is:

$$C_d(p, L(p)) = \begin{cases} 0 & L(p) = u \\ large \ penalty & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Interactional Penalty

$$C_i(p, q, L(p), L(q)) = \begin{cases} X & \text{if matching "colors"} \\ Y & \text{if matching "gradients"} \\ X+Y & \text{if matching "colors & gradients"} \\ X/Z & \text{if matching "colors & edges"} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} X &= \|S_{L(p)}(p) - S_{L(q)}(p)\| + \|S_{L(p)}(q) - S_{L(q)}(q)\| \\ Y &= \|\nabla S_{L(p)}(p) - \nabla S_{L(q)}(p)\| + \|\nabla S_{L(p)}(q) - \nabla S_{L(q)}(q)\| \\ Z &= E_{L(p)}(p,q) + E_{L(q)}(p,q)) \end{split}$$

and $\nabla S_z(p)$ is a 6-component color gradient (in *R*, *G*, and *B*) of image *z* at pixel *p*, and $E_z(p,q)$ is the scalar edge potential between two neighboring pixels *p* and *q* of image *z*, computed using a Sobel filter.

Intuitively, this is equvalent to finding a best **seam** between the neighboring pixels p,q if $L(p) \neq L(q)$

Minimize the penalty? Graph Cut Optimization

To minimize this penalty, we use Graph Cut.

$$C(L) = \sum_{p} C_{d}(p, L(p)) + \sum_{p,q} C_{i}(p, q, L(p), L(q)) \quad (1)$$

Boykov et al. [2001] have developed graph-cut techniques to optimize pixel labeling problems

Graph Cut

Designed to solve labeling problem in MRF.

A standard form of the energy function is

$$E(f) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} D_p(f_p) + \sum_{p,q \in \mathcal{N}} V_{p,q}(f_p, f_q),$$

f is the label of a Markov Random Field. D_p is the data term of pixel p. V_{p,q} is the smootheness term.

Graph Cut

Binary" problems can be solved exactly using this approach; problems where pixels can be labeled with more than two different labels cannot be solved exactly, but solutions produced are usually near the global optimum.

Alpha-expansion, alpha-beta swap

Graph Cut

- The interested readers can refer to these papers:
 - Kolmogorov V, Zabin R. What energy functions can be minimized via graph cuts?[J]. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 2004, 26(2): 147-159.
 - Boykov Y, Veksler O, Zabih R. Fast approximate energy minimization via graph cuts[J]. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 2001, 23(11): 1222-1239.

Graph Cut Library

- Libraries implemented by the authors of the papers:
 - maxflow algorithm by Vladimir Kolmogorov: <u>http://pub.ist.ac.at/~vnk/software.html</u>
 - □ GCO by Yuri Boykov:

http://vision.csd.uwo.ca/code/

Gradient-domain fusion

- For many applications the source images are too dissimilar for a graph-cut alone to result in visually seamless composites
- In these cases, it's useful to fuse in the gradient domain.

Gradient-domain fusion

For a single color channel, we seek to solve for the pixel values I(x, y). We re-order these values into a vector v, but, for convenience here, we still refer to each element $v_{x,y}$ based on its corresponding (x, y) pixel coordinates. An input gradient $\nabla I(x, y)$ specifies two linear equations, each involving two variables:

$$v_{x+1,y} - v_{x,y} = \nabla I_x(x,y)$$
 (2)

$$v_{x,y+1} - v_{x,y} = \nabla I_y(x,y)$$
 (3)

This is in some way similar to poisson image composition.

Iterative manner



Application besides Image Composition

- For other applications, the data penalty can be modified accordingly.
- Application includes:
 - Extended depth of field
 - Relighting
 - Stroboscopic visualization of movement
 - Time-lapse mosaics
 - Panoramic stitching
 - Clean-plate production
应用:扩展景深



应用: Relighting











应用: Relighting



应用: Stroboscopic visualization of movement



应用: Selective composites



应用: Selective composites



应用: Clean-plate production



Figure 9 Three of a series of nine images of a scene that were captured by moving the camera to the left, right, up, and down in increments of a few feet. The images were registered manually to align the background mountains. A *minimum contrast* image objective was then used globally to remove the wires.

应用: Clean-plate production



Comparison

What are the pros and cons of the gradient domain fusion scheme and the graph cut scheme?



Sparse Matrix

- A sparse matrix is a matrix in which most of the elements are zero
- Example of sparse matrix



Sparse Matrix

Many of the above problems require solving a large sparse linear system(for example, the poisson equation in the image setting is a large sparse system)

How to represent Sparse Matrix in Computer?

List of lists (LIL)

LIL stores one list per row, with each entry containing the column index and the value. Typically, these entries are kept sorted by column index for faster lookup.

Coordinate list (COO)

□ stores a list of (row, column, value) tuples.

How to represent Sparse Matrix in Computer?

- Compressed Row Storage (CRS or CSR)
 - three vectors: one for floating point numbers (val) and the other two for integers (col_ind, row_ptr).
 - As an example:



val 10	-2 3	937873	9	13 4	2 -	1
col_ind 1	51	262341	5	62	5	6

row_ptr 1 3 6 9 13 17 20

How to represent Sparse Matrix in Computer?

Other representations include:
 Compressed column Storage
 Yale
 Please refer to Wikipedia for more detailed description.

Libraries that supports Sparse Matrix

- Eigen Library
- Taucs

线性方程组的求解

Solving linear system

Direct Methods □ Gaussian Elimination Matrix factorization, LU □ LDLT, Cholesky Iterative Methods Gauss-Seidel □Jacobi Conjugate Gradient

The Gauss–Seidel method is an iterative technique for solving a square system of n linear equations with unknown x:

$$Ax = b$$

• It's defined by the following iteration: $L_* x^{(k+1)} = b - U x^{(k)}$

where the matrix A is decomposed into a lower triangular component L_* , and a strictly upper triangular component U:

$$A = L_* + U$$

In more detail:

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$

The decomposition of A into L_* and U: $A = L_* + U$, where

$$L_* = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The iteration $L_*x^{(k+1)} = b - Ux^{(k)}$ can be rewritten as:

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j < i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j > i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

- Convergence:
- The procedure is known to converge if either:
 - $\Box A$ is symmetric positive-definite, or
 - $\Box A$ is strictly or irreducibly diagonally dominant.
- The Gauss–Seidel method sometimes converges even if these conditions are not satisfied

Gauss-Seidel Algorithm

Inputs: A, b Output: ϕ

Choose an initial guess ϕ to the solution **repeat** until convergence for *i* from 1 until *n* do $\sigma \leftarrow 0$ for *j* from 1 until *n* do if $j \neq i$ then $\sigma \leftarrow \sigma + a_{ij}\phi_j$ end if **end** (*j*-loop) $\phi_i \leftarrow \frac{1}{a_{ii}}(b_i - \sigma)$ end (*i*-loop) check if convergence is reached end (repeat)

Suppose:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 3 \\ 7 & -11 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } b = \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix}$$
We want to use the equation $L_* x^{(k+1)} = b - U x^{(k)}$ in the form

$$x^{(k+1)} = T x^{(k)} + C,$$

where

$$T = L_*^{-1} U, C = L_*^{-1} b$$

By decomposing A, we have: $L_* = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 0 \\ 7 & -11 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

The inverse of L_{*} is:

$$L_*^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 0 \\ 7 & -11 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0625 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0398 & -0.0909 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we have:

$$T = -\begin{bmatrix} 0.0625 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0398 & -0.0909 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.000 & -0.1875 \\ 0.000 & -0.1193 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0625 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0398 & -0.0909 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6875 \\ -0.7443 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we choose a initial value $x^{(0)}$:

$$x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0\\ 1.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x^{(k+1)} = Tx^{(k)} + C,$$

$$x^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.000 & -0.1875 \\ 0.000 & -0.1193 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 \\ 1.0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.6875 \\ -0.7443 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5000 \\ -0.8636 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$x^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.000 & -0.1875 \\ 0.000 & -0.1193 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.5000 \\ -0.8636 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.6875 \\ -0.7443 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8494 \\ -0.6413 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$x^{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.000 & -0.1875 \\ 0.000 & -0.1193 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.8494 \\ -0.6413 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.6875 \\ -0.7443 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8077 \\ -0.6678 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$x^{(4)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.000 & -0.1875 \\ 0.000 & -0.1193 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.8077 \\ -0.6678 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.6875 \\ -0.7443 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8127 \\ -0.6646 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$x^{(5)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.000 & -0.1875 \\ 0.000 & -0.1193 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.8127 \\ -0.6678 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.6875 \\ -0.7443 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8121 \\ -0.6650 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$x^{(6)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.000 & -0.1875 \\ 0.000 & -0.1193 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.8121 \\ -0.6650 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.6875 \\ -0.7443 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8122 \\ -0.6650 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$x^{(7)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.000 & -0.1875 \\ 0.000 & -0.1193 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.8122 \\ -0.6650 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.6875 \\ -0.7443 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8122 \\ -0.6650 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Jacobi

Given a square system of *n* linear equations Ax = b

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}.$

A can be decomposed into a diagonal component D, and the remainder R:

Jacobi

$$A = D + R$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & 0 & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is then obtained iteratively via:

$$x^{(k+1)} = D^{-1}(b - Rx^{(k)})$$

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Jacobi Algorithm

Choose an initial guess $x^{(0)}$ to the solution k = 0while convergence not reached do for i := 1 step until n do $\sigma = 0$ for j := 1 step until n do if $j \neq i$ then $\sigma = \sigma + a_{ij} x_j^{(k)}$ end if end (j-loop) $x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{(b_i - \sigma)}{\tau}$ end (i-loop) check if convergence is reached k = k + 1

loop (while convergence condition not reached)

Jacobi

Convergence:

when the spectral radius of the iteration matrix is less than 1:

 $\rho(D^{-1}R) < 1$

The method is guaranteed to converge if the matrix A is strictly or irreducibly diagonally dominant.

Suppose:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix}, \ b = \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

we rewrite the equation in a more convenient form:

$$x^{(k+1)} = D^{-1}(b - Rx^{(k)}) = Tx^{(k)} + C$$

• We can easily see that: $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/7 \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1/2 \\ -5/7 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$ $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 11/2 \\ 13/7 \end{bmatrix}.$

We have:

$$x^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1/2 \\ -5/7 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 11/2 \\ 13/7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5.0 \\ 8/7 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1.143 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$x^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1/2 \\ -5/7 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5.0 \\ 8/7 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 11/2 \\ 13/7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 69/14 \\ -12/7 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 4.929 \\ -1.714 \end{bmatrix}$$

This process is repeated until convergence(the 25th iteration):

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 7.111\\ -3.222 \end{bmatrix}$$

Conjugate Gradient

The conjugate gradient method is an algorithm for the numerical solution of particular systems of linear equations whose matrix is symmetric and positivedefinite.
- Two vectors u, v are said to be conjugate (with respect to A) if: $u^T A v = 0$
- Suppose P = {p_k} is a basis of Rⁿ. Within P, we can expand the solution x_{*} of Ax = b:

$$x_* = \sum_{i}^{n} \alpha_i p_i$$

And we can see that:

$$b = Ax_* = \sum_{i}^{n} \alpha_i Ap_i$$

For any $p_k \in P$, we have:

$$\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_i = \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k.$$

(because $\forall i \neq k, p_i, p_k$ are mutually conjugate)

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_k} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_k \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{p}_k\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2}.$$

Conjugate Gradient as an iterative method

- If we choose the conjugate vectors p_k carefully, then we may not need all of them to obtain a good approximation to the solution x_{*}
- So, we want to regard the conjugate gradient method as an iterative method
- in each iteration we need a metric to tell us whether we are closer to the solution x_{*}

The metric is

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}.$$

- The residue r_k at step k, which is also the negative of the gradient of f(x_k), is: $r_k = b Ax_k$
- So the gradient descent method would be to move in the direction of r_k

- But we also insist that the direction p_k be conjugate to each other.
- This gives the following expression:

$$p_k = r_k - \sum_{i < k} \frac{p_i^T A r_k}{p_i^T A p_i} p_i$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$$

with

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_k} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathbf{r}_{k-1} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{k-1})}{\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_k} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{r}_{k-1}}{\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_k},$$

Conjugate Gradient Algorithm

 $\mathbf{r}_0 := \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0$ $p_0 := r_0$ k := 0repeat $\alpha_k := \frac{\mathbf{r}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{r}_k}{\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_k}$ $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} := \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$ $\mathbf{r}_{k+1} := \mathbf{r}_k - \alpha_k \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_k$ if r_{k+1} is sufficiently small then exit loop $\beta_k := \frac{\mathbf{r}_{k+1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{r}_{k+1}}{\mathbf{r}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{r}_{k}}$ $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} := \mathbf{r}_{k+1} + \beta_k \mathbf{p}_k$ k := k + 1end repeat The result is \mathbf{x}_{k+1}

Libraries/Software that can solve linear systems

- Eigen Library
- LAPACK
- Matlab

Assignment

Write a Sparse Matrix version of Gauss-Seidel solver.